

Contractibility of the orbit space of a fusion system after Steinberg

Omar Dennaoui and Jonathon Villareal

University of Louisiana at Lafayette

SCTC III Math Rodeo



Background and Goal

Theorem ([Sym98])

Let G be a finite group and p a prime dividing $|G|$. Let $|S_p(G)|$ denote the p -subgroup complex for G (considered as a topological space). Then $|S_p(G)|/G$ is contractible.

Background and Goal

Theorem ([Sym98])

Let G be a finite group and p a prime dividing $|G|$. Let $|S_p(G)|$ denote the p -subgroup complex for G (considered as a topological space). Then $|S_p(G)|/G$ is contractible.

Theorem (Main Result)

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system over the finite p -group S . Then the space $|S|/\mathcal{F}$ is contractible.

Sylow and pseudo finite at p

Sylow and pseudo finite at p

Definition

Let G be a (*possibly infinite*) group and let S be a finite p -subgroup of G . We say that S is a *Sylow p -subgroup* of G if every finite p -subgroup of G is conjugate to a subgroup of S .

Sylow and pseudo finite at p

Definition

Let G be a (*possibly infinite*) group and let S be a finite p -subgroup of G . We say that S is a *Sylow p -subgroup* of G if every finite p -subgroup of G is conjugate to a subgroup of S .

Definition ([Lib08])

A group G is *pseudo finite at p* if G contains a Sylow p -subgroup and if for every chain $(P_0 \leq P_1 \leq \dots \leq P_n)$ of finite p -subgroups of G , the subgroup $N_G(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n) := \bigcap_{i=0}^n N_G(P_i)$ has a Sylow p -subgroup.

Fusion Systems

Fusion Systems

Definition

- The *fusion category* of a group G over a Sylow p -subgroup S , denoted $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$, is the category whose objects are the subgroups of S and morphisms are group homomorphisms induced by elements of G .

Fusion Systems

Definition

- The *fusion category* of a group G over a Sylow p -subgroup S , denoted $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$, is the category whose objects are the subgroups of S and morphisms are group homomorphisms induced by elements of G .
- An (*abstract*) *fusion system* over a p -group S , denoted $\mathcal{F}$, is the category whose objects are the subgroups of S and whose morphisms are injective group homomorphisms satisfying certain properties.

Fusion Systems

Definition

- The *fusion category* of a group G over a Sylow p -subgroup S , denoted $\mathcal{F}_S(G)$, is the category whose objects are the subgroups of S and morphisms are group homomorphisms induced by elements of G .
- An (*abstract*) *fusion system* over a p -group S , denoted $\mathcal{F}$, is the category whose objects are the subgroups of S and whose morphisms are injective group homomorphisms satisfying certain properties.
- If $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies certain condition, called *saturation axioms*, we call $\mathcal{F}$ a *saturated fusion system*. In addition, every saturated fusion system is *realizable*, which means there is some (possibly infinite) group G where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$.

Outline

Outline

- 1 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ where G is a pseudo finite group at the prime p and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.

Outline

- 1 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ where G is a pseudo finite group at the prime p and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.
- 2 $|\mathcal{S}|/\mathcal{F}$

Outline

- 1 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ where G is a pseudo finite group at the prime p and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.
- 2 $|\mathcal{S}|/\mathcal{F} \cong |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|/G$.

Outline

- 1 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ where G is a pseudo finite group at the prime p and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.
- 2 $|\mathcal{S}|/\mathcal{F} \cong |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|/G$.
- 3 $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|/G \simeq |N/G|$

Outline

- 1 $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_S(G)$ where G is a pseudo finite group at the prime p and $S \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$.
- 2 $|\mathcal{S}|/\mathcal{F} \cong |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|/G$.
- 3 $|\mathcal{S}_p(G)|/G \simeq |N/G| \simeq *$.

Discrete Morse Theory

Theorem ([Bro92], [For98])

Let X be a simplicial set. Given a Morse matching for X , there is a quotient map $q : |X| \rightarrow Y$ of CW-complexes, where the n -cells of Y are in bijection with the critical n -cells of X (with characteristic maps the compositions of q with the corresponding characteristic maps to $|X|$), with q a homotopy equivalence.

Morse Matching

Definition

Let X be a simplicial set. A *Morse matching* (or *collapsing scheme* in [Bro92]) for X consists of the following data:

Morse Matching

Definition

Let X be a simplicial set. A *Morse matching* (or *collapsing scheme* in [Bro92]) for X consists of the following data:

- A partition of the nondegenerate simplices (called cells here) of X into three types: *critical*, *redundant*, and *collapsible*.

Morse Matching

Definition

Let X be a simplicial set. A *Morse matching* (or *collapsing scheme* in [Bro92]) for X consists of the following data:

- A partition of the nondegenerate simplices (called cells here) of X into three types: *critical*, *redundant*, and *collapsible*.
- A bijection c between the sets of redundant and collapsible cells such that, for each redundant n -cell τ , $c(\tau)$ is an $(n+1)$ -cell and an index $\iota(\tau) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ such that $\tau = d_{\iota(\tau)}(c(\tau))$, where d_i are the face maps of X .

Morse Matching

Definition

Let X be a simplicial set. A *Morse matching* (or *collapsing scheme* in [Bro92]) for X consists of the following data:

- A partition of the nondegenerate simplices (called cells here) of X into three types: *critical*, *redundant*, and *collapsible*.
- A bijection c between the sets of redundant and collapsible cells such that, for each redundant n -cell τ , $c(\tau)$ is an $(n+1)$ -cell and an index $\iota(\tau) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n+1\}$ such that $\tau = d_{\iota(\tau)}(c(\tau))$, where d_i are the face maps of X .

subject to the following condition: If D is the bipartite digraph whose vertex set consists of the redundant and collapsible cells and whose edges are of the form $\tau \rightarrow c(\tau)$ for τ redundant, and $\sigma \rightarrow d_j(\sigma)$, where $j \neq \iota(c^{-1}(\tau))$, for σ collapsible, then there is no infinite directed path in D .

The Partition

Let $[P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]$ be an orbit in N/G , we call this n -cell:

The Partition

Let $[P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]$ be an orbit in N/G , we call this n -cell:

- **critical** if $n = 0$ and $[P_0] = [S]$ the orbit of Sylow p -subgroups of G .

The Partition

Let $[P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]$ be an orbit in N/G , we call this n -cell:

- **critical** if $n = 0$ and $[P_0] = [S]$ the orbit of Sylow p -subgroups of G .
- **redundant** if P_n is not a Sylow p -subgroup of $N_G(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n)$

The Partition

Let $[P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]$ be an orbit in N/G , we call this n -cell:

- **critical** if $n = 0$ and $[P_0] = [S]$ the orbit of Sylow p -subgroups of G .
- **redundant** if P_n is not a Sylow p -subgroup of $N_G(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n)$
- **collapsible** if $n \geq 1$ and P_n is a Sylow p -subgroup of $N_G(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n)$

The maps c and ι

Let $[P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]$ be a redundant n -cell

The maps c and ι

Let $[P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]$ be a redundant n -cell

- The bijection c is given by $c([P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]) = [P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n, P]$, where P is a Sylow p -subgroup of $N_G(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n)$.

The maps c and ι

Let $[P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]$ be a redundant n -cell

- The bijection c is given by $c([P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]) = [P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n, P]$, where P is a Sylow p -subgroup of $N_G(P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n)$.
- The index ι is given by $\iota([P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n]) = n + 1$.

Conclusion

$$|\mathcal{S}|/\mathcal{F} \cong |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|/G$$

Conclusion

$$|\mathcal{S}|/\mathcal{F} \cong |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|/G \simeq |N/G|$$

Conclusion

$$|\mathcal{S}|/\mathcal{F} \cong |\mathcal{S}_p(G)|/G \simeq |N/G| \simeq *$$

What's next?

Theorem ([Lin09], [Lib08])

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a saturated fusion system on S and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a non-empty closed $\mathcal{F}$ -collection. Then $|\mathcal{S}|/\mathcal{F}$ is contractable.

References I



Michael Aschbacher, Radha Kessar, and Bob Oliver, *Fusion systems in algebra and topology*, no. 391, Cambridge University Press, 2011.



Carles Broto, Ran Levi, and Bob Oliver, *The homotopy theory of fusion systems*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **16** (2003), no. 4, 779–856.



Kenneth S Brown, *Euler characteristics of discrete groups and g -spaces*, Inventiones mathematicae **27** (1974), no. 3, 229–264.



———, *High dimensional cohomology of discrete groups*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **73** (1976), no. 6, 1795–1797.

References II



_____, *The geometry of rewriting systems: a proof of the anick-groves-squier theorem*, Algorithms and classification in combinatorial group theory (1992), 137–163.



Robin Forman, *Morse theory for cell complexes*, 1998.



Assaf Libman, *Webb's conjecture for fusion systems*, Israel Journal of Mathematics **167** (2008), no. 1, 141–154.



Markus Linckelmann, *The orbit space of a fusion system is contractible*, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society **98** (2009), no. 1, 191–216.



Lluís Puig, *Frobenius categories*, Journal of Algebra **303** (2006), no. 1, 309–357.

References III



Geoffrey R Robinson, *Amalgams, blocks, weights, fusion systems and finite simple groups*, Journal of Algebra **314** (2007), no. 2, 912–923.



Benjamin Steinberg, *Contractibility of the orbit space of the p -subgroup complex via brown-forman discrete morse theory*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.07882 (2023).



Peter Symonds, *The orbit space of the p -subgroup complex is contractible*, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **73** (1998), no. 3, 400–405.



Jacques Thévenaz and Peter J Webb, *Homotopy equivalence of posets with a group action*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **56** (1991), no. ARTICLE, 173–181.

Fin

Thank you for your attention!



UNIVERSITY *of*
LOUISIANA
L A F A Y E T T E ®

Questions?